

STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

2017

C5347 - Diploma of Accounting BUS	AUSCY	Survey Population: 28 Respondents : 3 Response Rate: 10.7%
650T - Vocational Business Education		

Demographics (% of total sample size)

Commencement Year

Pre 2012	0	Under 15	0%
2012	0	15-19	0%
2013	0	20-24	33%
2014	0	25-34	33%
2015	0	35-44	0%
2016	1	45-54	33%
2017	2	55-64	0%
		65 or over	0%

Citizenship

Australian	33%
International Onshore	67%
International Offshore	0%

Gender

Male	0%
Female	100%

Program Type

TAFE Certificate	0%
TAFE Diploma	100%
Other	0%

LOTE

Yes	67%
No	33%

Disability

Yes	0%
No	100%

Qualification

Certificate I	0%
Certificate II	0%
Certificate III	0%
Certificate IV	33%
Certificate level unknown	0%
Diploma	33%
Advanced diploma	33%
Associate degree	0%
Degree	0%
Short course / statement of attainment	0%
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.	0%
Other qualification / training	0%
Do not know	0%

FOE

Natural & physical sciences	0%
Information Technology	0%
Engineering & related technologies	0%
Architecture & building	0%
Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%
Health	0%
Education	0%
Management & commerce	33%
Society & culture	0%
Creative arts	0%
Food, hospitality & personal services	0%
Other	67%

Identifying as Aboriginal/TSI

No	100%
Yes, Aboriginal	0%
Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%
Yes, both	0%

Studying for an Apprenticeship or Traineeship

Yes	0%
No	100%

Recognition/Prior Learning

Yes	33%
No	67%

Snapshot Scales 2017 (Old Formula)

Trainer Quality	55.6%
Overall	66.7%

Please check notes on page 3

Snapshot Scales 2017 (New Formula)

Trainer Quality	66.7%
Overall Satisfaction	66.7%


About Your Training

C5347 - Diploma of Accounting

2017


1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	55.6%					
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		33%	0%	33%	33%	3
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		33%	33%	33%	0%	3
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		33%	0%	67%	0%	3
23. Trainers explained things clearly		33%	33%	33%	0%	3
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		33%	0%	67%	0%	3
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		33%	0%	33%	33%	3



2. Overall Satisfaction

Overall Satisfaction:		% AGREE		Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students	
			66.7%						
<hr/>									
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training		33%	0%	67%	0%	3			
10. I would recommend the training organisation to others		33%	0%	67%	0%	3			
29. I would recommend the training to others		33%	0%	67%	0%	3			



3. Effective Support

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	66.7%					
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners		33%	0%	33%	33%	3
33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs		33%	0%	67%	0%	3

4. Clear Expectations

		% AGREE				Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree	No. of Students
		44.4%									
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected			33%	33%	33%	0%				3	
22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me			33%	0%	67%	0%				3	
35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me			67%	0%	33%	0%				3	

5. Effective Assessment

	% AGREE					
	66.7%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge		33%	0%	67%	0%	3
19. Assessments were based on realistic activities		33%	0%	33%	33%	3
30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills		33%	0%	67%	0%	3

6. Learning Stimulation

	% AGREE					
	50.0%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me		33%	33%	33%	0%	3
18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable		33%	0%	67%	0%	3
32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		50%	0%	50%	0%	2

7. Training Resources

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	62.5%					
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition		33%	0%	33%	33%	3
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials		33%	0%	33%	33%	3
31. Training resources were available when I needed them		50%	0%	50%	0%	2

8. Training Relevance

	% AGREE					
	66.7%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
3. The training focused on relevant skills		33%	0%	67%	0%	3
5. The training prepared me well for work		33%	0%	67%	0%	3
7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		33%	0%	67%	0%	3

9. Competency Development

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	66.7%					
1. I developed the skills expected from this training		33%	0%	67%	0%	3
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		33%	0%	67%	0%	3

Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Strongly Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded. The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.