

STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

2017

365T - Global, Urban & Social St DSC	tudies		AUSCY	Survey Population: 1,1 Respondents : 237 Response Rate: 20.		
Demographics (% of total samp	le size)					
Commencement Year		Age		Citizenship		
Pre 2012	0	Under 15	0%	Australian	96%	
2012	0	15-19	16%	International Onshore	3%	
2013	0	20-24	19%	International Offshore	0%	
2014	0	25-34	17%			
2015	1	35-44	19%	Gender		
2016 2017	10 226	45-54 55-64	19% 7%	Male Female	27% 70%	
2017	220	65 or over	0%	remale	70%	
Program Type		LOTE		Disability		
TAFE Certificate	31%	Yes	50%	Yes	10%	
TAFE Diploma	68%	No	48%	No	88%	
Other	1%					
Qualitfication		FOE		ldentifying as Aborigina	al/TSI	
Certificate I	0%	Natural & physical sciences	0%	No	97%	
Certificate II	0%	Information Technology	0%	Yes, Aboriginal	1%	
Certificate III	4%	Engineering & related technologies	0%	Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%	
Certificate IV	9%	Architecture & building	0%	Yes, both	0%	
Certificate level unknown	0%	Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%			
Diploma	64%	Health	14%			
Advanced diploma	18%	Education	2%	Studying for an Appren	ticeship	
Associate degree	0%	Management & commerce	1%	or Traineeship	1	
ŭ		•		•		

Recognition/Prior Learning
Yes 1

38%

0%

0%

42%

Yes

No

Yes 19% No 77%

3%

91%

Snapshot Scales 2017 (Old Formula	a)
Trainer Quality	90.2
Overall	90.3

Prepared by Survey Services Centre VE LQ - Student Experience Survey

Short course / statement of attainment

VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.

Other qualification / training

1%

1%

0%

0%

0%

Society & culture

Creative arts

Degree

Do not know

Please check notes on page 3

Snapshot Scales 2017 (New Formula)

Trainer Quality 91.4%

Overall Satisfaction 91.5%

Food, hospitality & personal services

About Your Training

365T - Global, Urban & Social Studies

2017

1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE 90.2%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
 11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs 13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content 14. I received useful feedback on my assessments 23. Trainers explained things clearly 28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible 34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions 		3% 0% 6% 3% 1% 2%	5% 6% 14% 9% 8% 2%	50% 48% 56% 62% 60% 48%	42% 46% 25% 26% 31% 47%	232 234 234 233 232 233



2. Overall Satisfaction

	% AGREE	Strongly			Strongly	No. of
	90.3%	Disagree			Agree	Students
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training 10. I would recmommend the training organisation to others		2% 2%	7% 7%	55% 48%	37% 42%	234 233
29. I would recommend the training to others		2%	9%	51%	38%	233



3. Effective Support

	% AGREE	Strongly			Strongly	No. of
	87.4%	Disagree			Agree	Students
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs		2% 3%	10% 11%	58% 61%	30% 25%	230 231

% AGREE

4. Clear Expectations

4. Clear Expectations	% AGREE 83.9%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me		4% 3% 2%	18% 11% 11%	58% 62% 56%	20% 25% 31%	231 232 231
5. Effective Assessment	% AGREE 89.3%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge19. Assessments were based on realistic activities30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge	and skills	2% 3% 2%	9% 9% 7%	62% 60% 63%	27% 27% 28%	233 233 226
6. Learning Stimulation	% AGREE 90.0%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		1% 1% 1%	10% 11% 6%	61% 69% 68%	27% 19% 26%	233 233 231
7. Training Resources	% AGREE 89.6%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials31. Training resources were available when I needed them		2% 1% 1%	12% 8% 7%	55% 61% 68%	31% 29% 24%	231 231 228
8. Training Relevance	% AGREE 90.6%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
3. The training focused on relevant skills5. The training prepared me well for work7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		1% 1% 1%	6% 7% 12%	57% 60% 60%	36% 32% 27%	233 232 234
9. Competency Development	% AGREE 96.8%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
I developed the skills expected from this training I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		1% 1%	3% 1%	58% 62%	39% 35%	233 234

Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Stronlgy Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded.

The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics

section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.