

VE Onshore

STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

2017

345T - Media & Communication DSC			AUSCY	Survey Population Respondents Response Rate	: 218
Demographics (% of total sample size)	1				
Commencement Year		Age		Citizenship	
Pre 2012	0	Under 15	0%	Australian	87%
2012	0	15-19	34%	International Onshore	13%
2013	1	20-24	39%	International Offshore	0%
2014	1	25-34	13%		
2015	2	35-44	10%	Gender	
2016	14	45-54	2%	Male	49%
2017	200	55-64 65 or over	0% 0%	Female	50%
Program Type		LOTE		Disability	
TAFE Certificate	16%	Yes	28%	Yes	16%
TAFE Diploma Other	84% 0%	No	71%	No	83%
Qualitfication		FOE		Identifying as Aborigina	al/TSI
Certificate I	0%	Natural & physical sciences	0%	No	97%
Certificate II	0%	Information Technology	2%	Yes, Aboriginal	1%
Certificate III	0%	Engineering & related technologies	6%	Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%
Certificate IV	15%	Architecture & building	0%	Yes, both	0%
Certificate level unknown	0%	Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%		
Diploma	50%	Health	0%		
Advanced diploma	33%	Education	0%	Studying for an Appren	ticeshir
Associate degree	0%	Management & commerce	0%	or Traineeship	
Degree	0%	Society & culture	0%	Yes	6%
Short course / statement of attainment	0%	Creative arts	62%	No	93%
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.	0%	Food, hospitality & personal services	0%		507
Other qualification / training	0%	Other	29%		
Do not know	0% 1%	other	23/0	Recognition/Prior Learr	ning
	1 70			Yes	1119 17%
				No	81%

Snapshot Scales 2017 (Old Formula)						
Trainer Quality	87.0%					
Overall	88.1%					

Please check notes on page 3

Snapshot Scales 2017 (New	Formula)
Trainer Quality	88.5%
Overall Satisfaction	89.8%

About Your Training	34	15T - Media & Co		2017		
1. Trainer Quality						
	% AGREE	Strongly			Strongly	No. of
	87.0%	Disagree			Agree	Students
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		3%	7%	53%	37%	217
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		1%	6%	53%	40%	216
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		4%	20%	53%	23%	217
23. Trainers explained things clearly		3%	13%	64%	19%	216
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		0%	1 0%	63%	26%	216
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		1%	8%	55%	36%	214



2. Overall Satisfaction	% AGREE 88.1%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
 Overall, I am satisfied with the training I would recmommend the training organisation to others I would recommend the training to others 		4% 4% 3%	7% 10% 8%	58% 52% 58%	32% 35% 31%	215 217 216



3. Effective Support

	% AGREE 88.7%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs		2% 2%	10% 8%	62% 66%	26% 24%	

4. Clear Expectations

	% AGREE	Strongly			Strongly	No. of
	83.7%	Disagree			Agree	Students
 It was always easy to know the standards expected I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me 		2% 2% 2%	20% 12% 12%	62% 66% 65%	16% 20% 21%	217

5. Effective Assessment % AGREE 91.5%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge	2%	6%	63%	29%	215
 Assessments were based on realistic activities The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills 	1% 1%	6% 10%	69% 61%	25% 28%	216 214

6. Learning Stimulation	% AGREE 89.2%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly	No. of Students
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		2% 1% 1%	13% 6% 8%	55% 72% 64%	29% 21% 27%	

7. Training Resources						
-	% AGREE	Strongly			Strongly	No. (
	90.6%	Disagree			Agree	Stude
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition		2%	8%	61%	29%	217
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials		3%	9%	57%	30%	214
31. Training resources were available when I needed them		1%	5%	69%	25%	216

8. Training Relevance	% AGREE 86.4%	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
3. The training focused on relevant skills5. The training prepared me well for work7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		1% 3% 4%	5% 14% 14%	64% 61% 49%	30% 21% 34%	215
9. Competency Development	% AGREE	Strongly			Strongly	No. of
	94.7%	Disagree			Agree	Students

1. I developed the skills expected from this training	1%	4%	67%	28%	217
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills	1%	4%	60%	35%	215

Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Stronlgy Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students in Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded.

The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics

section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.