

# STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

## 2017

RMIT University	AUSCY	Survey Population: 10,232 Respondents : 2,109 Response Rate: 20.6%
-----------------	-------	--

### Demographics (% of total sample size)

#### Commencement Year

Pre 2012  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017

0  
0  
1  
5  
53  
332  
1718

#### Age

Under 15  
15-19  
20-24  
25-34  
35-44  
45-54  
55-64  
65 or over

0%  
30%  
34%  
17%  
9%  
6%  
2%  
0%

#### Citizenship

Australian  
International Onshore  
International Offshore

91%  
8%  
0%

#### Gender

Male  
Female

51%  
47%

#### Program Type

TAFE Certificate  
TAFE Diploma  
Other

18%  
78%  
4%

#### LOTE

Yes  
No

44%  
54%

#### Disability

Yes  
No

10%  
88%

#### Qualification

Certificate I  
Certificate II  
Certificate III  
Certificate IV  
Certificate level unknown  
Diploma  
Advanced diploma  
Associate degree  
Degree  
Short course / statement of attainment  
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.  
Other qualification / training  
Do not know

0%  
1%  
4%  
9%  
0%  
51%  
25%  
1%  
2%  
0%  
0%  
3%  
2%

#### FOE

Natural & physical sciences  
Information Technology  
Engineering & related technologies  
Architecture & building  
Agriculture, envi & related studies  
Health  
Education  
Management & commerce  
Society & culture  
Creative arts  
Food, hospitality & personal services  
Other

1%  
5%  
18%  
13%  
1%  
13%  
2%  
8%  
5%  
12%  
0%  
20%

#### Identifying as Aboriginal/TSI

No  
Yes, Aboriginal  
Yes, Torres Strait Islander  
Yes, both

97%  
1%  
0%  
0%

#### Studying for an Apprenticeship or Traineeship

Yes  
No

9%  
87%

#### Recognition/Prior Learning

Yes  
No

20%  
77%

#### Snapshot Scales 2017 (Old Formula)

Trainer Quality 86.5%  
Overall 87.6%

#### Please check notes on page 3

#### Snapshot Scales 2017 (New Formula)

Trainer Quality 87.8%  
Overall Satisfaction 87.8%


# About Your Training

RMIT University

2017


## 1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	86.5%					
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		2%	7%	56%	34%	2079
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		1%	7%	55%	36%	2081
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		6%	16%	56%	22%	2078
23. Trainers explained things clearly		3%	15%	62%	20%	2073
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		3%	12%	62%	24%	2080
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		2%	6%	60%	32%	2074



## 2. Overall Satisfaction

		% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
		87.6%					
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training			3%	9%	60%	28%	2079
10. I would recommend the training organisation to others			4%	9%	55%	32%	2081
29. I would recommend the training to others			3%	9%	59%	29%	2073



## 3. Effective Support

		% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
		87.5%					
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners			2%	10%	64%	23%	2067
33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs			2%	10%	66%	22%	2070

#### 4. Clear Expectations

		% AGREE				Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree	No. of Students
		81.7%									
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected			3%	20%	61%	16%				2075	
22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me			2%	13%	65%	20%				2078	
35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me			3%	13%	61%	23%				2073	

#### 5. Effective Assessment

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	89.7%						
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge		2%	8%	65%	24%	2071	
19. Assessments were based on realistic activities		2%	8%	68%	22%	2074	
30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills		2%	9%	66%	23%	2057	

#### 6. Learning Stimulation

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	87.3%						
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me		2%	11%	64%	22%	2079	
18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable		2%	10%	70%	18%	2080	
32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		2%	10%	66%	22%	2068	

#### 7. Training Resources

		% AGREE		Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
		88.7%						
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition				2%	10%	63%	24%	2076
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials				2%	9%	64%	24%	2068
31. Training resources were available when I needed them				2%	8%	68%	23%	2068

#### 8. Training Relevance

	% AGREE					
	86.6%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
3. The training focused on relevant skills		1%	7%	63%	29%	2077
5. The training prepared me well for work		3%	13%	62%	22%	2057
7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		3%	13%	57%	27%	2081

#### 9. Competency Development

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	94.3%					
1. I developed the skills expected from this training		1%	5%	64%	29%	2084
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		1%	4%	66%	29%	2080

#### Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Strongly Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded. The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.