

STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - HE QILT

2018

BP201 - Bachelor of Arts (Fine Art)		HKGAC	Survey Population: 90
340H			Respondents: 28
Design and Social Context			Response Rate: 31.1%
			Reliability: Sufficient

Demographics (% of total sample size)

Commencement Year		Completion Year Expected		LOTE	
Pre 2014	0%	2018	25%	Yes	79%
2014	0%	2019 or later	75%	No	21%
2015	0%				
2016	14%				
2017	46%				
2018	39%				

Age		Gender		Citizenship	
<21	11%	Male	7%	% Australian	0%
21-24	14%	Female	93%	% Int Onshore	0%
25-34	18%			% Int Offshore	100%
35-44	21%				
45+	36%				

Program Type		Study Base		Online Study	
Bachelor	100%	One campus	36%	None	29%
Postgraduate (Coursework)	0%	Two or more campus	54%	About a quarter	57%
Other	0%	Mixed	4%	About half	14%
		External/Distance	7%	All or nearly all	0%

Average Grade		Location		Disability	
No results	0%	China (Mainland)	0%	Yes	0%
0 - 49%	0%	Hong Kong	100%	No	100%
50 - 59%	7%	Singapore	0%		
60 - 69%	7%	Indonesia	0%		
70 - 79%	36%	Sri Lanka	0%		
80 - 89%	43%	Other	0%		
90 - 100%	7%				

Snapshot Scales 2018 (See notes on Page 4)

Mean		Percent In Agreement	
Learner Engagement	60.0	Learner Engagement	60.7%
Teaching Quality	74.6	Teaching Quality	75.0%
Learning Resources	49.9	Learning Resources	66.7%
Student Support	59.7	Student Support	60.7%
Skills Development	71.2	Skills Development	82.1%
Overall Quality	61.9	Overall Satisfaction	85.7%

1. Learner Engagement

Percent In Agreement: 60.71% Based on 28 Included Responses

	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very much	Not applicable	Respondents
Had opportunities to interact with local students	0%	18%	18%	18%	29%	18%	28
Had a sense of belonging to RMIT	0%	29%	29%	43%	0%		28
Felt prepared for your study	0%	4%	33%	33%	30%		27
	Never	Sometimes	Often	Very often			Respondents
Participated in discussions online or face-to-face	21%	21%	39%	18%			28
Worked with other students as part of your study	0%	21%	46%	32%			28
Interacted with students outside study requirements	0%	64%	25%	11%			28
Interacted with students who are very different from you	0%	39%	46%	14%			28

2. Teaching Quality

Percent In Agreement: 75.00% Based on 28 Included Responses

<i>The quality of...</i>	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent		Respondents
The teaching in your program	4%	11%	68%	18%		28
Entire education experience in your program	4%	11%	82%	4%		28
<i>Your study was delivered in a way that is...</i>	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very much	Respondents
Well structured and focused	0%	0%	35%	46%	19%	26
Relevant to your education as a whole	0%	0%	30%	44%	26%	27
<i>Lecturers, tutors and demonstrators</i>						
Engaged you actively in learning	0%	4%	11%	50%	36%	28
Demonstrated concern for student learning	0%	7%	11%	57%	25%	28
Provided clear explanations on coursework and assessment	0%	4%	11%	57%	29%	28
Stimulated you intellectually	0%	7%	7%	64%	21%	28
Commented on your work in ways that help you learn	0%	7%	14%	43%	36%	28
Seemed helpful and approachable	0%	7%	7%	46%	39%	28
Set assessment tasks that challenge you to learn	0%	0%	11%	46%	43%	28

3. Learning Resources

Percent In Agreement: 66.67% Based on 27 Included Responses

<i>The quality of...</i>	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Not applicable	Respondents
Teaching spaces (e.g. lecture theatres, tutorial rooms, laboratories)	7%	39%	43%	7%	4%	28
Student spaces and common areas	14%	50%	25%	7%	4%	28
Online learning materials	4%	29%	39%	25%	4%	28
Computing/IT resources	7%	43%	21%	11%	18%	28
Assigned books, notes and resources	7%	32%	39%	21%	0%	28
Laboratory or studio equipment	14%	43%	32%	7%	4%	28
Library resources and facilities	21%	32%	43%	4%	0%	28

4. Student Support

Percent In Agreement: 60.71% Based on 28 Included Responses

	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very Much	Not applicable	Respondents
Received appropriate English language skill support	11%	11%	29%	11%	7%	32%	28
Been offered support relevant to your circumstances	4%	7%	36%	7%	11%	36%	28
Felt induction/orientation activities were relevant and helpful	0%	11%	22%	33%	26%	7%	27
	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very Much		Respondents
Received support from your institution to settle into study	4%	0%	36%	40%	20%		25
To what extent have you experienced efficient enrolment and admissions processes	0%	0%	28%	28%	44%		25
	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very Much	Had No Contact	Respondents
Administrative staff or systems (eg. Online administrative services, frontline staff, enrolment systems)							
Available?	7%	11%	25%	39%	11%	7%	28
Helpful?	7%	0%	25%	36%	25%	7%	28
Career advisors							
Available?	15%	15%	15%	11%	0%	44%	27
Helpful?	11%	11%	14%	11%	0%	54%	28
Academic or learning advisors							
Available?	0%	4%	25%	25%	4%	43%	28
Helpful?	0%	4%	32%	14%	7%	43%	28
Support services such as counsellors, financial/legal advisors and health services							
Available?	4%	7%	18%	7%	0%	64%	28
Helpful?	4%	4%	25%	4%	0%	64%	28

5. Skills Development

Percent In Agreement: 82.14% Based on 28 Included Responses

	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very much	Respondents
Critical thinking skills	0%	0%	21%	50%	29%	28
Ability to solve complex problems	0%	4%	29%	57%	11%	28
Ability to work with others	0%	7%	32%	50%	11%	28
Confidence to learn independently	4%	4%	14%	50%	29%	28
Written communication skills	0%	7%	32%	46%	14%	28
Spoken communication skills	0%	4%	21%	68%	7%	28
Knowledge of the field(s) you are studying	0%	0%	11%	61%	29%	28
Development of work-related knowledge and skills	0%	4%	32%	54%	11%	28

Other

Study negatively affected by...

	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very much	Not applicable	Respondents
Paid work commitments	14%	21%	25%	7%	18%	14%	28
	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very much		Respondents
Living arrangements	18%	25%	36%	18%	4%		28
Financial circumstances	21%	39%	14%	18%	7%		28

Considering leaving RMIT in 2015

	Yes	No	Respondents
Seriously considered leaving	14%	86%	28

Reasons for leaving	%
Academic exchange	0
Academic support	25
Administrative support	25
Boredom/lack of interest	0
Career prospects	25
Change of direction	0
Commuting difficulties	0
Difficulty paying fees	25
Difficulty with workload	50
Expectations not met	25
Family responsibilities	0
Financial difficulties	50
Gap year/deferral	25
Government assistance	25
Graduating	0
Health or stress	25
Institution reputation	0
Moving residence	0
Need a break	25
Need to do paid work	0
Other opportunities	25
Paid work responsibilities	0
Personal reasons	25
Quality concerns	25
Received other offer from another university/higher education institution	0
Social reasons	0
Standards too high	0
Study/life balance	50
Travel or tourism	0
Other reasons	0

Notes

To calculate Mean Scores and Percent In Agreement values, responses given by students are converted to a score between 0 and 100.

e.g., Not at all=0, Very little=25, Some=50, Quite a bit=75, Very much=100, for 5 point scales

e.g., Never=0, Sometimes=33.33, Often=66.67, Very often=100, for 4 point scales

Mean values for a scale are determined by calculating the weighted average of these scores

e.g., the mean for a set of questions with responses of Never, Sometimes, Often and Very often is calculated as follows:

The number of "Never" responses multiplied by 0 plus the number of "Sometimes" responses multiplied by 33.33 plus the number of "Often" responses multiplied by 66.67 plus the number of "Very often" responses multiplied by 100. This is then divided by the total number of responses.

The result can be thought of as representing the position of the average response within the range of possible responses.

Percent in Agreement is calculated as follows. First, students who did not answer a significant proportion of the questions in a set are excluded from the calculations for that set, e.g., for the Learner Engagement scale, students who answer fewer than 5 of the 7 questions in this set are excluded.

The average score is then calculated for remaining students; those with an average score equal to or above 55 are regarded as being "In Agreement" while those with an average score below 55 are regarded as being "Not in agreement" with this set of questions.

The Percent in Agreement value is then the number of students found to be "In Agreement" divided by the number of students that were included.

The number of responses is shown for each question and the number of students included in the calculation for each set is also shown.

Overall Quality is based on the question: "Overall how would you rate the quality of your entire educational experience this year?"

Some values may appear as 101 % due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.