

# STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - HE QILT

## 2019

BH120 - Bachelor of Engineering (Software Engineering)(Honours VNMRI 860V School of Science and Technology		Survey Population: 120 Respondents: 11 Response Rate: 9.2% Reliability: Insufficient
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### Demographics (% of total sample size)

Commencement Year		Age		LOTE	
Pre 2015	0%	<21	82%	Yes	100%
2015	0%	21-24	18%	No	0%
2016	18%	25-34	0%	<b>Gender</b>	
2017	27%	35-44	0%		
2018	27%	45+	0%		
2019	27%			Male	91%
				Female	9%

Program Type		Location		Citizenship	
Bachelor	100%	Ho Chi Minh City	100%	% Australian	0%
Postgraduate (Coursework)	0%	Hanoi	0%	% Int Onshore	0%
Postgraduate (Research)	0%			% Int Offshore	100%
Other	0%			<b>Disability</b>	
				Yes	0%
				No	100%

### Snapshot Scales 2019 (Please refer to the notes on the last page)

Mean Values		Percent In Agreement	
Learner Engagement	65.0	Learner Engagement	72.7%
Teaching Quality	61.3	Teaching Quality	63.6%
Learning Resources	66.2	Learning Resources	72.7%
Student Support	61.8	Student Support	63.6%
Skills Development	67.6	Skills Development	81.8%
Overall Quality	45.5	Overall Quality	45.5%

## 1. Learner Engagement

Percent In Agreement: 72.73% Based on 11 Included Responses

	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very much	Not applicable	Respondents
Had opportunities to interact with local students	0%	0%	27%	18%	27%	27%	11
Had a sense of belonging to RMIT	0%	0%	27%	64%	9%		11
Felt prepared for your study	9%	0%	18%	73%	0%		11
Participated in discussions online or face-to-face	0%	45%	36%	18%			11
Worked with other students as part of your study	0%	18%	0%	82%			11
Interacted with students outside study requirements	0%	36%	27%	36%			11
Interacted with students who are very different from you	18%	64%	9%	9%			11

## 2. Teaching Quality

Percent In Agreement: 63.64% Based on 11 Included Responses

<i>The quality of...</i>	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Respondents	
The teaching in your program	9%	36%	45%	9%	11	
Entire education experience in your program	18%	36%	36%	9%	11	
<i>Your study was delivered in a way that is...</i>	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very much	Respondents
Well structured and focused	9%	9%	55%	18%	9%	11
Relevant to your education as a whole	0%	9%	36%	45%	9%	11
<i>Lecturers, tutors and demonstrators</i>						
Engaged you actively in learning	0%	9%	36%	45%	9%	11
Demonstrated concern for student learning	0%	0%	18%	73%	9%	11
Provided clear explanations on coursework and assessment	0%	9%	18%	64%	9%	11
Stimulated you intellectually	0%	27%	18%	55%	0%	11
Commented on your work in ways that help you learn	9%	18%	18%	36%	18%	11
Seemed helpful and approachable	0%	9%	18%	55%	18%	11
Set assessment tasks that challenge you to learn	0%	9%	9%	73%	9%	11

## 3. Learning Resources

Percent In Agreement: 72.73% Based on 11 Included Responses

<i>The quality of...</i>	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Not applicable	Respondents
Teaching spaces (e.g. lecture theatres, tutorial rooms, laboratories)	18%	0%	45%	36%	0%	11
Student spaces and common areas	18%	9%	45%	27%	0%	11
Online learning materials	9%	36%	18%	36%	0%	11
Computing/IT resources	9%	9%	45%	36%	0%	11
Assigned books, notes and resources	0%	36%	55%	9%	0%	11
Laboratory or studio equipment	9%	9%	36%	45%	0%	11
Library resources and facilities	0%	9%	55%	36%	0%	11

#### 4. Student Support

Percent In Agreement: 63.64% Based on 11 Included Responses

	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very Much	Not applicable	Respondents
Received appropriate English language skill support	18%	9%	27%	18%	18%	9%	11
Been offered support relevant to your circumstances	18%	0%	27%	27%	18%	9%	11
Felt induction/orientation activities were relevant and helpful	0%	9%	36%	36%	18%	0%	11
	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very Much		Respondents
Received support from your institution to settle into study	0%	9%	45%	36%	9%		11
To what extent have you experienced efficient enrolment and admissions processes	0%	18%	55%	27%	0%		11
	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very Much	Had No Contact	Respondents
<b>Administrative staff or systems (eg. Online administrative services, frontline staff, enrolment systems)</b>							
Available?	0%	0%	56%	33%	11%	0%	9
Helpful?	0%	11%	33%	44%	11%	0%	9
<b>Career advisors</b>							
Available?	0%	0%	33%	50%	17%	0%	6
Helpful?	0%	0%	33%	33%	17%	17%	6
<b>Academic or learning advisors</b>							
Available?	0%	0%	13%	63%	25%	0%	8
Helpful?	0%	0%	38%	50%	13%	0%	8
<b>Support services such as counsellors, financial/legal advisors and health services</b>							
Available?	0%	29%	43%	14%	14%	0%	7
Helpful?	0%	29%	43%	14%	14%	0%	7

#### 5. Skills Development

Percent In Agreement: 81.82% Based on 11 Included Responses

	Not at all	Very little	Some	Quite a bit	Very much	Respondents
Critical thinking skills	0%	9%	45%	27%	18%	11
Ability to solve complex problems	0%	9%	18%	64%	9%	11
Ability to work with others	0%	9%	9%	27%	55%	11
Confidence to learn independently	0%	9%	18%	27%	45%	11
Written communication skills	0%	27%	36%	27%	9%	11
Spoken communication skills	0%	27%	36%	27%	9%	11
Knowledge of the field(s) you are studying	0%	0%	36%	45%	18%	11
Development of work-related knowledge and skills	0%	0%	36%	45%	18%	11

## Notes

To calculate Mean Scores and Percent In Agreement values, responses given by students are converted to a score between 0 and 100.

e.g., Not at all=0, Very little=25, Some=50, Quite a bit=75, Very much=100, for 5 point scales

e.g., Never=0, Sometimes=33.33, Often=66.67, Very often=100, for 4 point scales

Mean values for a scale are determined by calculating the weighted average of these scores

e.g., the mean for a set of questions with responses of Never, Sometimes, Often and Very often is calculated as follows:

The number of "Never" responses multiplied by 0 plus the number of "Sometimes" responses multiplied by 33.33 plus the number of "Often" responses multiplied by 66.67 plus the number of "Very often" responses multiplied by 100. This is then divided by the total number of responses.

The result can be thought of as representing the position of the average response within the range of possible responses.

Percent in Agreement is calculated as follows. First, students who did not answer a significant proportion of the questions in a set are excluded from the calculations for that set, e.g., for the Learner Engagement scale, students who answer fewer than 5 of the 7 questions in this set are excluded.

The average score is then calculated for remaining students; those with an average score equal to or above 55 are regarded as being "In Agreement" while those with an average score below 55 are regarded as being "Not in agreement" with this set of questions.

The Percent in Agreement value is then the number of students found to be "In Agreement" divided by the number of students that were included.

The number of responses is shown for each question and the number of students included in the calculation for each set is also shown.

Overall Quality is based on the question: "Overall how would you rate the quality of your entire educational experience this year?"

Some values may appear as 101 % due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.