

# STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

**2019**
**Semester 2**

C6132 - Adv Dip of Eng Technology SEH 174T - Voc Eng, Health & Sciences	AUSCY	Survey Population: 49 Respondents : 10 Response Rate: 20.4%
---	-------	---

## Demographics (% of total sample size)

### Commencement Year

Pre 2014	0
2014	0
2015	0
2016	0
2017	6
2018	3
2019	1

### Age

Under 15	0%
15-19	0%
20-24	70%
25-34	30%
35-44	0%
45-54	0%
55-64	0%
65 or over	0%

### Citizenship

Australian	70%
International Onshore	30%
International Offshore	0%

### Gender

Male	80%
Female	20%

### Program Type

TAFE Certificate	0%
TAFE Diploma	100%
Other	0%

### LOTE

Yes	90%
No	10%

### Disability

Yes	10%
No	90%

### Qualification

Certificate I	0%
Certificate II	0%
Certificate III	0%
Certificate IV	0%
Certificate level unknown	0%
Diploma	0%
Advanced diploma	30%
Associate degree	20%
Degree	50%
Short course / statement of attainment	0%
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.	0%
Other qualification / training	0%
Do not know	0%

### FOE

Natural & physical sciences	0%
Information Technology	0%
Engineering & related technologies	90%
Architecture & building	10%
Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%
Health	0%
Education	0%
Management & commerce	0%
Society & culture	0%
Creative arts	0%
Food, hospitality & personal services	0%
Other	0%

### Identifying as Aboriginal/TSI

No	100%
Yes, Aboriginal	0%
Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%
Yes, both	0%

### Studying for an Apprenticeship or Traineeship

Yes	0%
No	90%

### Recognition/Prior Learning

Yes	70%
No	20%

*Please check notes on page 3*

### Snapshot Scales 2019 (Old Formula)

Trainer Quality	80.0%
Overall	83.3%

### Snapshot Scales 2019 (New Formula)

Trainer Quality	80.0%
Overall Satisfaction	70.0%


# About Your Training

C6132 - Adv Dip of Eng Technology

2019


## 1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE			Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	80.0%					
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		10%	0%	50%	40%	10
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		10%	10%	40%	40%	10
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		20%	10%	40%	30%	10
23. Trainers explained things clearly		10%	10%	50%	30%	10
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		10%	10%	50%	30%	10
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		10%	10%	50%	30%	10



## 2. Overall Satisfaction

Overall Satisfaction:		% AGREE		Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
			83.3%					
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training		10%	20%	40%	30%	10		
10. I would recommend the training organisation to others		10%	0%	50%	40%	10		
29. I would recommend the training to others		10%	0%	60%	30%	10		



## 3. Effective Support

		% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
		85.0%					
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners			10%	0%	70%	20%	10
33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs			10%	10%	50%	30%	10

#### 4. Clear Expectations

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	73.3%						
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected		20%	20%	40%	20%	10	
22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me		20%	0%	70%	10%	10	
35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me		10%	10%	40%	40%	10	

#### 5. Effective Assessment

		% AGREE		Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
		86.7%						
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge				10%	0%	60%	30%	10
19. Assessments were based on realistic activities				10%	10%	40%	40%	10
30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills				10%	0%	60%	30%	10

#### 6. Learning Stimulation

	% AGREE					
	86.7%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me		10%	10%	50%	30%	10
18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable		10%	0%	60%	30%	10
32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		10%	0%	70%	20%	10

#### 7. Training Resources

		% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
		80.0%					
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition			0%	0%	60%	40%	10
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials			10%	40%	30%	20%	10
31. Training resources were available when I needed them			10%	0%	70%	20%	10

#### 8. Training Relevance

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	73.3%					
3. The training focused on relevant skills		20%	0%	50%	30%	10
5. The training prepared me well for work		20%	0%	60%	20%	10
7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		10%	30%	20%	40%	10

#### 9. Competency Development

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	85.0%					
1. I developed the skills expected from this training		10%	10%	40%	40%	10
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		10%	0%	60%	30%	10

#### Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Strongly Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded. The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.