

# STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

2020

Semester 2

S0147 - Translating Skills for NAATI DSC 375T - Voc Design & Social Context	AUSCY	Survey Population: 19 Respondents : 7 Response Rate: 36.8%
---	-------	--

## Demographics (% of total sample size)

### Commencement Year

Pre 2015	0
2015	0
2016	0
2017	0
2018	0
2019	0
2020	7

### Age

Under 15	0%
15-19	0%
20-24	0%
25-34	71%
35-44	0%
45-54	14%
55-64	14%
65 or over	0%

### Citizenship

Australian	86%
International Onshore	14%
International Offshore	0%

### Gender

Male	29%
Female	71%

### Program Type

TAFE Certificate	0%
TAFE Diploma	0%
Other	100%

### LOTE

Yes	71%
No	29%

### Disability

Yes	29%
No	71%

### Qualification

Certificate I	0%
Certificate II	0%
Certificate III	0%
Certificate IV	0%
Certificate level unknown	29%
Diploma	0%
Advanced diploma	0%
Associate degree	0%
Degree	0%
Short course / statement of attainment	29%
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.	0%
Other qualification / training	29%
Do not know	14%

### FOE

Natural & physical sciences	0%
Information Technology	0%
Engineering & related technologies	0%
Architecture & building	0%
Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%
Health	0%
Education	14%
Management & commerce	0%
Society & culture	43%
Creative arts	0%
Food, hospitality & personal services	0%
Other	43%

### Identifying as Aboriginal/TSI

No	100%
Yes, Aboriginal	0%
Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%
Yes, both	0%

### Studying for an Apprenticeship or Traineeship

Yes	0%
No	100%

### Recognition/Prior Learning

Yes	29%
No	71%


Please check notes on page 3

Snapshot Scales 2020 (Old Formula)	
Trainer Quality	85.7%
Overall	55.0%

Snapshot Scales 2020 (New Formula)	
Trainer Quality	71.4%
Overall Satisfaction	57.1%


## 1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE				No. of	
	85.7%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	Students	
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		29%	0%	57%	14%	7
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		0%	14%	57%	29%	7
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		0%	14%	57%	29%	7
23. Trainers explained things clearly		0%	0%	71%	29%	7
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		14%	14%	57%	14%	7
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		0%	0%	71%	29%	7



## 2. Overall Satisfaction

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	55.0%					
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training		43%	0%	57%	0%	7
10. I would recommend the training organisation to others		50%	0%	50%	0%	6
29. I would recommend the training to others		43%	0%	57%	0%	7



## 3. Effective Support

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	64.3%					
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners		29%	14%	57%	0%	7
33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs		14%	14%	57%	14%	7

#### 4. Clear Expectations

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	81.0%					
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected		0%	29%	57%	14%	7
22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me		0%	29%	71%	0%	7
35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me		0%	0%	86%	14%	7

#### 5. Effective Assessment

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	76.2%							
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge			0%	0%	100%		0%	7
19. Assessments were based on realistic activities			0%	29%	57%		14%	7
30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills			29%	14%	57%		0%	7

#### 6. Learning Stimulation

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	71.4%						
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me		29%	0%	57%	14%	7	
18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable		0%	29%	57%	14%	7	
32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		0%	29%	71%	0%	7	

#### 7. Training Resources

	% AGREE				No. of	
	85.7%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	Students	
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition		0%	29%	71%	0%	7
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials		0%	14%	86%	0%	7
31. Training resources were available when I needed them		0%	0%	100%	0%	7

#### 8. Training Relevance

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	66.7%					
3. The training focused on relevant skills		14%	14%	71%	0%	7
5. The training prepared me well for work		29%	14%	57%	0%	7
7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		14%	14%	71%	0%	7

#### 9. Competency Development

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	57.1%					
1. I developed the skills expected from this training		14%	29%	57%	0%	7
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		29%	14%	57%	0%	7

#### Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Strongly Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded.

The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.