

STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

2020
Semester 2

C5365 - Diploma of Nursing SEH 174T - Voc Eng, Health & Sciences	2 Campuses	Survey Population: 400 Respondents : 138 Response Rate: 34.5%
--	------------	---

Demographics (% of total sample size)

Commencement Year

Pre 2015	0
2015	0
2016	0
2017	5
2018	15
2019	43
2020	75

Age

Under 15	0%
15-19	26%
20-24	30%
25-34	26%
35-44	9%
45-54	8%
55-64	1%
65 or over	0%

Citizenship

Australian	89%
International Onshore	11%
International Offshore	0%

Gender

Male	18%
Female	82%

Program Type

TAFE Certificate	0%
TAFE Diploma	100%
Other	0%

LOTE

Yes	54%
No	46%

Disability

Yes	7%
No	93%

Qualification

Certificate I	0%
Certificate II	0%
Certificate III	0%
Certificate IV	0%
Certificate level unknown	0%
Diploma	96%
Advanced diploma	1%
Associate degree	0%
Degree	0%
Short course / statement of attainment	0%
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.	1%
Other qualification / training	0%
Do not know	1%

FOE

Natural & physical sciences	1%
Information Technology	0%
Engineering & related technologies	0%
Architecture & building	0%
Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%
Health	94%
Education	1%
Management & commerce	0%
Society & culture	0%
Creative arts	0%
Food, hospitality & personal services	0%
Other	3%

Identifying as Aboriginal/TSI

No	99%
Yes, Aboriginal	1%
Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%
Yes, both	1%

Studying for an Apprenticeship or Traineeship

Yes	3%
No	96%

Recognition/Prior Learning

Yes	14%
No	86%


Please check notes on page 3

Snapshot Scales 2020 (Old Formula)	
Trainer Quality	81.7%
Overall	71.7%

Snapshot Scales 2020 (New Formula)	
Trainer Quality	78.3%
Overall Satisfaction	75.9%


1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	81.7%					
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		4%	12%	55%	29%	138
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		2%	9%	60%	29%	138
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		9%	20%	53%	19%	138
23. Trainers explained things clearly		7%	20%	58%	15%	137
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		4%	17%	56%	24%	138
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		3%	4%	57%	36%	138



2. Overall Satisfaction

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	71.7%					
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training		9%	15%	62%	14%	137
10. I would recommend the training organisation to others		12%	20%	53%	16%	138
29. I would recommend the training to others		11%	19%	56%	14%	138



3. Effective Support

	% AGREE					
	76.2%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners		6%	11%	63%	20%	136
33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs		8%	23%	58%	11%	137

4. Clear Expectations

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	71.4%							
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected		9%	30%	51%	11%	138		
22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me		9%	21%	57%	13%	137		
35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me		4%	14%	60%	22%	138		

5. Effective Assessment

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	78.6%					
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge		5%	15%	61%	19%	138
19. Assessments were based on realistic activities		2%	25%	63%	10%	138
30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills		6%	11%	70%	13%	135

6. Learning Stimulation

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	79.5%						
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me		4%	9%	75%	12%	138	
18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable		7%	23%	57%	13%	138	
32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		3%	15%	67%	14%	138	

7. Training Resources

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	81.1%					
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition		7%	13%	61%	20%	136
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials		4%	18%	61%	17%	135
31. Training resources were available when I needed them		4%	12%	66%	18%	137

8. Training Relevance

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	75.5%					
3. The training focused on relevant skills		1%	9%	65%	25%	138
5. The training prepared me well for work		8%	19%	55%	18%	137
7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		10%	27%	47%	16%	137

9. Competency Development

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	87.3%					
1. I developed the skills expected from this training		4%	12%	62%	22%	137
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		4%	5%	67%	24%	138

Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Strongly Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded.

The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.