

STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

2020
Semester 2

C4407 - Cert IV in Dental Asst (Rad)	AUSCY	Survey Population: 42
SEH		Respondents : 12
174T - Voc Eng, Health & Sciences		Response Rate: 28.6%

Demographics (% of total sample size)

Commencement Year

Pre 2015	0	Under 15	0%
2015	0	15-19	0%
2016	0	20-24	50%
2017	0	25-34	17%
2018	0	35-44	17%
2019	0	45-54	17%
2020	12	55-64	0%
		65 or over	0%

Citizenship

Australian	100%
International Onshore	0%
International Offshore	0%

Gender

Male	17%
Female	83%

Program Type

TAFE Certificate	100%
TAFE Diploma	0%
Other	0%

LOTE

Yes	50%
No	50%

Disability

Yes	8%
No	92%

Qualification

Certificate I	0%
Certificate II	0%
Certificate III	0%
Certificate IV	100%
Certificate level unknown	0%
Diploma	0%
Advanced diploma	0%
Associate degree	0%
Degree	0%
Short course / statement of attainment	0%
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.	0%
Other qualification / training	0%
Do not know	0%

FOE

Natural & physical sciences	0%
Information Technology	0%
Engineering & related technologies	0%
Architecture & building	0%
Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%
Health	100%
Education	0%
Management & commerce	0%
Society & culture	0%
Creative arts	0%
Food, hospitality & personal services	0%
Other	0%

Identifying as Aboriginal/TSI

No	100%
Yes, Aboriginal	0%
Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%
Yes, both	0%

Studying for an Apprenticeship or Traineeship

Yes	17%
No	83%

Recognition/Prior Learning

Yes	83%
No	17%

Please check notes on page 3

Snapshot Scales 2020 (Old Formula)	
Trainer Quality	81.9%
Overall	63.9%

Snapshot Scales 2020 (New Formula)	
Trainer Quality	83.3%
Overall Satisfaction	58.3%


About Your Training

C4407 - Cert IV in Dental Asst (Rad)

2020


1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	81.9%					
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		8%	8%	42%	42%	12
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		8%	0%	58%	33%	12
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		8%	17%	67%	8%	12
23. Trainers explained things clearly		8%	25%	50%	17%	12
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		8%	8%	58%	25%	12
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		8%	0%	75%	17%	12



2. Overall Satisfaction

		% AGREE		Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
		63.9%						
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training				25%	17%	42%	17%	12
10. I would recommend the training organisation to others				25%	8%	42%	25%	12
29. I would recommend the training to others				17%	17%	50%	17%	12



3. Effective Support

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	70.8%					
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners		17%	17%	58%	8%	12
33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs		17%	8%	67%	8%	12

4. Clear Expectations

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	75.0%						
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected		8%	25%	58%	8%	12	
22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me		17%	17%	67%	0%	12	
35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me		8%	0%	83%	8%	12	

5. Effective Assessment

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	75.0%						
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge		8%	8%	75%	8%	12	
19. Assessments were based on realistic activities		8%	25%	58%	8%	12	
30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills		17%	8%	58%	17%	12	

6. Learning Stimulation

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	61.1%						
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me		8%	42%	42%	8%	12	
18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable		17%	17%	67%	0%	12	
32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		25%	8%	58%	8%	12	

7. Training Resources

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	66.7%						
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition		8%	33%	58%	0%	12	
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials		8%	8%	75%	8%	12	
31. Training resources were available when I needed them		17%	25%	50%	8%	12	

8. Training Relevance

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	58.3%						
3. The training focused on relevant skills		8%	8%	75%	8%		12
5. The training prepared me well for work		8%	42%	50%	0%		12
7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		17%	42%	33%	8%		12

9. Competency Development

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	70.8%					
1. I developed the skills expected from this training		17%	17%	58%	8%	12
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		8%	17%	75%	0%	12

Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Strongly Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded.

The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.