

STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

2020
Semester 2

C6131 - Adv Dip of Eng (Aeronautical)	AUSCY	Survey Population: 79
SEH		Respondents : 20
174T - Voc Eng, Health & Sciences		Response Rate: 25.3%

Demographics (% of total sample size)

Commencement Year

Pre 2015	0	Under 15	0%
2015	0	15-19	45%
2016	1	20-24	45%
2017	1	25-34	10%
2018	3	35-44	0%
2019	9	45-54	0%
2020	6	55-64	0%
		65 or over	0%

Citizenship

Australian	80%
International Onshore	20%
International Offshore	0%

Gender

Male	90%
Female	10%

Program Type

TAFE Certificate	0%
TAFE Diploma	100%
Other	0%

LOTE

Yes	70%
No	25%

Disability

Yes	0%
No	95%

Qualification

Certificate I	0%
Certificate II	0%
Certificate III	0%
Certificate IV	0%
Certificate level unknown	0%
Diploma	0%
Advanced diploma	90%
Associate degree	5%
Degree	5%
Short course / statement of attainment	0%
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.	0%
Other qualification / training	0%
Do not know	0%

FOE

Natural & physical sciences	0%
Information Technology	0%
Engineering & related technologies	100%
Architecture & building	0%
Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%
Health	0%
Education	0%
Management & commerce	0%
Society & culture	0%
Creative arts	0%
Food, hospitality & personal services	0%
Other	0%

Identifying as Aboriginal/TSI

No	100%
Yes, Aboriginal	0%
Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%
Yes, both	0%

Studying for an Apprenticeship or Traineeship

Yes	0%
No	95%

Recognition/Prior Learning

Yes	5%
No	90%


Please check notes on page 3

Snapshot Scales 2020 (Old Formula)	
Trainer Quality	74.8%
Overall	76.7%

Snapshot Scales 2020 (New Formula)	
Trainer Quality	70.0%
Overall Satisfaction	70.0%


1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE				No. of	
	74.8%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	Students	
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		20%	5%	50%	25%	20
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		0%	20%	65%	15%	20
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		15%	15%	55%	15%	20
23. Trainers explained things clearly		15%	15%	70%	0%	20
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		16%	16%	63%	5%	19
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		5%	10%	70%	15%	20



2. Overall Satisfaction

	% AGREE				No. of	
	76.7%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	Students	
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training		10%	20%	65%	5%	20
10. I would recommend the training organisation to others		15%	5%	75%	5%	20
29. I would recommend the training to others		15%	5%	75%	5%	20



3. Effective Support

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	77.5%					
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners		5%	10%	80%	5%	20
33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs		10%	20%	55%	15%	20

4. Clear Expectations

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	65.0%					
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected		5%	25%	55%	15%	20
22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me		5%	30%	60%	5%	20
35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me		25%	15%	50%	10%	20

5. Effective Assessment

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	75.0%						
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge		25%	5%	60%	10%	20	
19. Assessments were based on realistic activities		10%	10%	65%	15%	20	
30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills		0%	25%	70%	5%	20	

6. Learning Stimulation

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	81.7%					
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me		5%	10%	85%	0%	20
18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable		10%	10%	80%	0%	20
32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		5%	15%	65%	15%	20

7. Training Resources

	% AGREE				No. of	
	88.3%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	Students	
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition		0%	15%	75%	10%	20
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials		5%	5%	70%	20%	20
31. Training resources were available when I needed them		0%	10%	85%	5%	20

8. Training Relevance

	% AGREE					
	76.7%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
3. The training focused on relevant skills		0%	10%	80%	10%	20
5. The training prepared me well for work		0%	25%	65%	10%	20
7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		0%	35%	45%	20%	20

9. Competency Development

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	95.0%					
1. I developed the skills expected from this training		0%	0%	95%	5%	20
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		0%	10%	80%	10%	20

Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Strongly Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded.

The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.