

STUDENT EXPERIENCE SURVEY - VE LQ

2020

Semester 2

C4406 - Cert IV in Dental Asst (OHP)	AUSCY	Survey Population: 10
SEH		Respondents : 1
174T - Voc Eng, Health & Sciences		Response Rate: 10.0%

Demographics (% of total sample size)

Commencement Year

Pre 2015	0
2015	0
2016	0
2017	0
2018	0
2019	1
2020	0

Age

Under 15	0%
15-19	0%
20-24	100%
25-34	0%
35-44	0%
45-54	0%
55-64	0%
65 or over	0%

Citizenship

Australian	100%
International Onshore	0%
International Offshore	0%

Gender

Male	0%
Female	100%

Program Type

TAFE Certificate	100%
TAFE Diploma	0%
Other	0%

LOTE

Yes	0%
No	100%

Disability

Yes	0%
No	100%

Qualification

Certificate I	0%
Certificate II	0%
Certificate III	0%
Certificate IV	100%
Certificate level unknown	0%
Diploma	0%
Advanced diploma	0%
Associate degree	0%
Degree	0%
Short course / statement of attainment	0%
VET graduate certificate / graduate dip.	0%
Other qualification / training	0%
Do not know	0%

FOE

Natural & physical sciences	0%
Information Technology	0%
Engineering & related technologies	0%
Architecture & building	0%
Agriculture, envi & related studies	0%
Health	100%
Education	0%
Management & commerce	0%
Society & culture	0%
Creative arts	0%
Food, hospitality & personal services	0%
Other	0%

Identifying as Aboriginal/TSI

No	100%
Yes, Aboriginal	0%
Yes, Torres Strait Islander	0%
Yes, both	0%

Studying for an Apprenticeship or Traineeship

Yes	0%
No	100%

Recognition/Prior Learning

Yes	0%
No	100%

Please check notes on page 3

Snapshot Scales 2020 (Old Formula)	
Trainer Quality	100.0%
Overall	100.0%

Snapshot Scales 2020 (New Formula)	
Trainer Quality	100.0%
Overall Satisfaction	100.0%

1. Trainer Quality

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	100.0%					
11. Training organisation staff respected my background and needs		0%	0%	100%	0%	1
13. Trainers had an excellent knowledge of the subject content		0%	0%	0%	100%	1
14. I received useful feedback on my assessments		0%	0%	100%	0%	1
23. Trainers explained things clearly		0%	0%	0%	100%	1
28. Trainers made the subject as interesting as possible		0%	0%	100%	0%	1
34. Trainers encouraged learners to ask questions		0%	0%	0%	100%	1



2. Overall Satisfaction

Overall Satisfaction:						
	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	100.0%					
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the training		0%	0%	100%	0%	1
10. I would recommend the training organisation to others		0%	0%	100%	0%	1
29. I would recommend the training to others		0%	0%	100%	0%	1



3. Effective Support

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	50.0%					
24. The training organisation had a range of services to support learners		0%	0%	0%	100%	1
33. The training was flexible enough to meet my needs		0%	100%	0%	0%	1

4. Clear Expectations

	% AGREE				Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	No. of Students
	66.7%						
20. It was always easy to know the standards expected		0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	1
22. I usually had a clear idea of what was expected of me		0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	1
35. Trainers made it clear right from the start what they expected from me		0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	1

5. Effective Assessment

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	100.0%					
15. The way I was assessed was a fair test of my skills and knowledge		0%	0%	100%	0%	1
19. Assessments were based on realistic activities		0%	0%	0%	100%	1
30. The training organisation gave appropriate recognition of existing knowledge and skills		0%	0%	0%	100%	1

6. Learning Stimulation

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		No. of Students
	33.3%					
17. The training was at the right level of difficulty for me		0%	100%	0%	0%	1
18. The amount of work I had to do was reasonable		0%	100%	0%	0%	1
32. I was given enough material to keep up my interest		0%	0%	100%	0%	1

7. Training Resources

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	100.0%					
21. Training facilities and materials were in good condition		0%	0%	100%	0%	1
26. The training used up-to-date equipment, facilities and materials		0%	0%	0%	100%	1
31. Training resources were available when I needed them		0%	0%	100%	0%	1

8. Training Relevance

	% AGREE				No. of	
	33.3%	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	Students	
3. The training focused on relevant skills		0%	0%	100%	0%	1
5. The training prepared me well for work		0%	100%	0%	0%	1
7. The training had a good mix of theory and practice		0%	100%	0%	0%	1

9. Competency Development

	% AGREE	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	No. of Students	
	0.0%					
1. I developed the skills expected from this training		0%	100%	0%	0%	1
2. I identified ways to build on my current knowledge and skills		0%	100%	0%	0%	1

Notes

The Social Research Centre (SRC) uses a new method to evaluate scales such as Trainer Quality. The original method simply added the number of responses which were "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" for all 6 GTS questions and divided this by the number of actual responses. This is the Percent Agree value for the scale. Blanks, D/A and N/A are excluded.

The new method counts students rather than responses. Firstly, students who did not answer at least 4 of the 6 questions are excluded from the calculation. Responses for the remaining students are then converted to a value between 0 and 100 (Strongly Disagree=0, Disagree=25, Neither=50, Agree=75, Strongly Agree=100). Students with an average below 55 are regarded as "Not In Agreement".

The Percent Agree value is then the number of students In Agreement expressed as a percentage of all students that were not excluded.

The new method for calculating overall satisfaction uses responses only to Q9: Overall, I am satisfied with the training.

Percentages represent the percentage of total valid responses per question, with the exception of the demographics section which represent the percentage of total responses.

Charts are rescaled on valid responses to total 100%.

The sum of the percentages may be 99% or 101% in some cases due to rounding.

Valid responses exclude N/A and blank responses.